



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,927	01/26/2004	Samuel R. Regina	5923-A-4 (CIP of A-3)	9641
759	90 05/04/2006		EXAM	INER
C. Robert von	Hellens		HORTON, YVO	NNE MICHELE
Cahill, von Hellens & Glazer P.L.C. Suite 155			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2141 E. Highland Avenue			3635	
Phoenix, AZ 85016			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,927	REGINA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yvonne M. Horton	3635			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aported or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHII - Exte afte - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	lanuary 2004.				
		s action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12,23,25-29,40,43 and 46</u> is/are rejected.					
- 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-22,24,30-39,41,42,44,45 and 47-56</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are		to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ha hawa ha an waxabaa d				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Burea		ou in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,927

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12,23,25-29,40,43 and 46 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 6-19 and 23-28 of copending Application No. 10/684,921. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a vented hollow plastic translucent/transparent block including a pair of members, each of said members including a lip

and said side wall of the other of said members including a shelf for receiving and mating with said lip and forming a junction; a sheet adapted for partly reflecting solar radiation, said sheet of material being located interior of and extending across said plastic block, said sheet including a first layer of a film, a second layer of a film and a coating layer disposed intermediate said first and second layers of film and defines two compartments within said block and has a slot that allows the two compartments to be in fluid communication with one another and the a vent; and the vent having a diameter of about 0.005 inches to about 0.25 inches extending through one of said side walls.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YMH ()" Art Unit 3635 05/01/06